

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,619	07/28/2003	William Grant Grovenburg	10030630-1	4323
22878 7550 09/11/2009 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT.			EXAMINER	
			YUEN, KAN	
MS BLDG. E P.O. BOX 7599 LOVELAND, CO 80537		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LO (LLII D,	20 122 2 3, 00 00001		2416	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPOPS.LEGAL@agilent.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/627.619 GROVENBURG, WILLIAM GRANT Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KAN YUEN 2416 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 January 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-9.11.12.14.17 and 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 7,8,17 and 18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 4, 9 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3.6.11 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _ 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/627,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 2416

Detailed Action

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after Advisory Action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/2/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 4, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bearden et al. (Pub No.: 2004/0062204), in view of Kung et al. (Pat No.: 6381220).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,619

Art Unit: 2416

For claim 1, Bearden et al. disclosed the method of transmitting a respective telephone number from a network troubleshooting center (NTC) (Bearden et al. fig. 1, Testing Server 104) to a plurality of network analyzers (NAs) (Bearden et al. fig. 1, endpoint devices 102) monitoring communication lines through which Voice-over-Internet Protocol (VoIP) data streams are transmitted (Bearden et al. paragraphs 0032-0040, 0051). The devices 102 respond to commands or requests from the testing server 104 to participate in test calls for the purpose of VOIP monitoring and analysis in system 100, wherein the system comprises an internet network 106; and

after receiving the telephone number, collecting quality of service data by the NAs for data streams associated with a telephone call having the telephone number as a source or destination and transmitted through the communication lines, and providing quality of service information by the NAs to the NTC based on the collected quality of service data (Bearden et al. paragraphs 0032). After receiving requests from testing server 104, the endpoints 102 may synthesize a call, measure QoS parameters such as jitter, loss and delay associated with the call, and report the results back to the testing server 104.

However, Bearden et al. did not explicitly disclose the feature of transmitting information indicating a respective telephone number.

Kung et al. from the same or similar fields of endeavor disclosed the feature of transmitting information indicating a respective telephone number (Kung et al. see column 2, lines 19-45, figs. 1-2). The monitor 101 is enabled to perform surveillance of the target telephone 111, in response to a security code and target telephone number

entered which is reviewed and approved by the IP-AMCP 125. Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the feature as taught by Kung et al. in the network of Bearden et al. The motivation for using the feature as taught by Kung et al. in the network of Bearden et al. being that it provides real-time and full time monitoring capabilities.

Regarding claims 4, 12 Bearden et al. disclosed the feature wherein the telephone call is based on Session Initialization Protocol (SIP) (Bearden et al. paragraph 0043).

Claim 9 is rejected similar to claim 1.

Examiner's Note:

5. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure

Art Unit: 2416

relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 7, 8, 17 and 18 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 3, 6, 11 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAN YUEN whose telephone number is (571)270-1413. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10:00a.m-3:00p.m EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky O. Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2416

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kan Yuen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416 /Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416

KY